

## THE STENCH OF MENDACITY IN THE CT. COURTS

### The State of Child Protection Is A 4 Letter Word To Protective Parents In Ct.

Greenwich, Westport, Darien, names associated with pristine bedroom communities, prime Ct. real estate, great schools, great neighborhoods, safe streets, all shrouded by the secret of the rotten to the core Ct. Juvenile, Dependency and Family Courts. Ct., given shade by the brilliant scholarly reputation of Yale University, whose name is sullied by being borrowed by predator professionals who ply their wares in the courts as GAL's psychologists whatever, not from Yale, but as Adjunct Professors of empty words, syllabus of carnival barkers tempting the naive, desperate litigants seeking protection of children.

The famously open courts of Ct. are open to empty rhetoric, closed to truthful content and deaf to authentic professionals. The relentless, endless display of professional incompetence, or pure, criminal corruption is daily on display in the Ct. courts where the stench of mendacity flows directly to the court room of such arrogant hypocrites as Judge Gould, or Judge Heller. Judge Gould has been presiding for more than thirty days of trial in a custody case begun in 2016 where children have been subject to continued assault on their health, welfare and safety. Judge Heller actively precluded hearing a Protective Order/Restraining Order to Protect children from additional, ongoing assault by attempting to equivocate issues secondary to the immediate care and protection of children. (4/23/2018).

Gould has attempted to close court rooms, seal records, truncate testimony of key witnesses via procedural maneuvers. Gould has acted to block attorney testimony, maneuvers manipulating the testimony of experts, withholding key information from the court. The attorney for a litigant themselves have been called as witnesses to account, on the record, for acts of collusion to suppress evidence, mislead the court, Gould has actively blocked such disclosure, regardless of the fact that evidence exists, even in the court room behavior of litigants, that further cautions than those put in place were necessary. Evidence suppressed by the court was kept from competent examination for months. Such evidence indicated that a party to this case is alleged to be an obsessed sexual predator, with a personal and family history of grave mental health concern.

Any competent professional of integrity would be concerned with protecting the alleged subject from taking action based on diminished capacity and innate impulses beyond the subject's control. The protection of the subject, his immediate family members and children who are school mates and friends of these children become potential victims of this subject – as would be well recognized by any competent reviewer of this case, familiar with scholarly literature relevant to this individual's family history. The level of

denial of the severe psychological pathology on the part of the court was evident in the 'jokes' on the part of Gould, seeking to cover a level of discomfort, disquiet, with the bizarre utterances of the subject as he testified or made exclamations from his seat in court. A risk assessment, never filed or ordered for the subject allowed the subject to pose a threat to self and others, given liberal access to family and community children. The most fundamental directive of "Do No Harm" was completely ignored in this case as this court and its collaborators allowed harm to be continued without intervention as the intervention provided was so completely ineffectual.

Vulnerable children, their friends and the families of their friends have been subject to potential, personal unremitting trauma because this court failed to recognize/block disclosure and intervention into public criminal activity facing a family and a community. When custody litigation evolves into that which should be reported as criminal activity, investigated as a crime that impacts, not only immediate family members, but friends, neighbors children, and that investigation is consciously, with malice of forethought abrogated, then accusations of collusion and cover up of bad behavior on the part of multiple actors is more than warranted.

Acts of suppression of evidence of criminal activity exploded onto the scene with the incompetent evaluation of the court appointed psychologist, who by her authority was able to stop an investigation by the State's attorney. Her report, shared with Ct. 's incompetent DCF services, caused DCF to withdraw their substantiation findings, allowing the perpetrator full range of access to family and community children, because DCF provided the court ordered supervisor. The Protective Parent and children stated multiple times to court actors that the children were not being supervised and that the individual from whom they required protection had ample unsupervised contact with the children and time alone with their friends who visited.

Closed court rooms, immunity status, sealed records only add to the unremitting impression of major crimes and major cover-up of crimes on the part of all court actors involved in this case. The clarion call marshalling the troops, includes - the GAL, court ordered psychologist, court ordered incompetent "therapist" for the children, DCF whose reputation for stupidity and incompetence is exponential, attorney for the accused perpetrator - indicate that crimes against vulnerable children have been and continue to be committed and require criminal investigation and the sanitization of sunlight which will come in the form of multiple law suits. Those already placed on notice will be joined as the boundaries of immunity will be breached through proven assertions of coordinated criminal activity in the Ct. Courts.

Wake up Ct. to the knowledge that your "protectors" are your Predators and Perpetrators of crimes, your Finders of Facts, your Gate Keepers are your jailers and the insane and criminal inmates have taken over the community.