

THE FOUNDATION FOR THE CHILD VICTIMS OF THE FAMILY COURTS
A NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATION
275 MADISON AVE.
6TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016
866 – 553 – 6931

Date – 1/3/2017

To –State Commission On Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway - 12th Floor
New York, New York 10006

Phone – 646 – 386 – 4800
Fax – 646 – 458 – 0037

Re: Complaint against Judge Douglas E. Hoffman

From – Jill Jones-Soderman, PhD, MSW, MSHS
Executive Director – FCVFC
Publisher – The US Whistle Blower

Brook Altman – Appellant

v

Allison Scollar - Respondent

Case – Docket No.
v-17408/10
v-17594/10

INTRODUCTION

I am Jill Jones-Soderman PhD, MSW, MSHS, Executive Director of the Foundation For The Child Victims Of The Family Courts, to be referred to as the FCVFC. The complaint being filed on behalf of our client is meant to address multiple, serious violations of Rights, Procedure, Due Process, Law that have negatively impacted the lives of the named litigant, her child, extended family and the community at large.

The FCVFC is an organization that deals with Forensic Evaluation, Expert Witness Consultation, Diagnostic Evaluation, particularly in the area of Predator in Possession of Children Assessment. Our concerns are focused on the Constitutionally Protected Rights of vulnerable children and Protective Parents. Transfer of children from Protective Parents defending abused children in danger of transfer into the hands of accused abusers is the focus of our complaints against actors in the case to be discussed.

The FCVFC in its mission and practice is color blind and gender neutral, acts without prejudice to religion, or creed. We deal with clients from all regions of the U.S. as well as dealing with international abduction, from the U.S. to other parts of the world and vice versa. Our clients are multi cultural, multi lingual.

The FCVFC reviews Standard of Care, Public Policy as it relates to Institutional Abuse, particularly as indicated in Death Review Statistics of Murder and Suicide as related to Children and Protective Parents in Wrongful Death Litigation. We are expressly interested interested in the Liberty Rights of Parents to fend against the incursions of special interest groups, male or female, court actors, whom interfere with the duty and right of a parent to guard the the physical, emotional, educational, religious welfare of children. Our goal is to maintain a reasonable dynamic between parents, despite instances of malignant judicial discretionary interference, whether based on overt malfeasance of practice, exercise of undue influence, over reacting, conflict of interest, unconscious bias, (counter transference – unconscious) or conscious implicit, baked in bias and ignorance of areas of expertise that should be consulted.

The FCVFC routinely reviews multiple client intakes on a daily basis. The callers are seeking help with the most dire issues – true and false allegations of child abuse and neglect of every nature, including those that assert the most depraved insinuations of child trafficking, various forms of incest, bestiality, physical brutality and neglect, to less dramatic content. No matter what the content, the consequences are no less meaningful as to ultimate impact on the subject children.

JUDGE DOUGLAS E HOFFMAN - COMPLAINT

The landmark case of Altman v Scollar became the first case in which a Manhattan Family Court Judge awarded full legal custody of a four year old biological child to a non-biological parent, without any finding of abuse or neglect. The legacy of this decision has been handed down to Judge Douglas E. Hoffman to potentially amend and repair, (Sept. 12, 2012) a statement indicating, against all psychological and scientific scholarly research that “biology is irrelevant”.

It would appear that Judge Hoffman has joined the ranks of those enmeshed in the drama, if not the proper adjudication process, leading to another failure of resolution of sanity, madness and the family, (Laing/Esterson, 1990). The court proceedings now presided over by Judge Douglas E. Hoffman are redolent with the stench of the schizophrenogenic dance of insanity engaged in by family members , now court actors, joined in madness and ignorance/disregard for facts and law.

There are no adults, certainly no sane adults, to cut through the absurdity of irrational dysfunction originated by the odious decisions first generated by the now, no longer sitting Judge Sosa Lintner who in(2012) transferred a six year old child from her factually, very functional biological mother, into the hands of an individual who has full legal custody consistently demonstrated a rabid level of willingness to lie cheat and steal to accomplish her own ends, as indicated by evidence ignored throughout this court record. Allison Scollar, esq., the person who now has full legal custody of the biological child of Brook Altman is reputed to have a documented/demonstrated history of alcoholism, past and present and allegedly continues to represent a daily, ongoing, immanent danger to the child. Allison Scollar, esq. is also the defendant in a criminal case (No.14 Civ.7683(JFK)) alleging complicity in theft of escrow funds from her attorney account holding client funds for a closing on a real estate sale (Hui Ye V Gold Scollar Moshan PLLC, Michael J. Moshan, Katherine Kokkosis, Third Party Defendants Luski, Luski, Katz, Katz Banner Realty Co., LLC)

Critical to the numerous complaints against former Judge Sosa Lintner, which were known to Judge Hoffman was the argument, put forth in the Appeal (17594/10/12cC,D,E v17408/10/12B,CD)that Judge Sosa Lintner issued a temporary order of custody to a party who did not have custody of the biological daughter of her domestic partner. Former Judge Sosa Lintner issued a Protective Order/Stay Away Order based on factually false information and no proof of custody from Allison Scollar, the person advancing the claim. The argument is that the faulty findings of former Judge Sosa Lintner have resulted in six ongoing years of horrendous litigation and an agonizing separation between the biological mother/rightful custodian of her child and the violation of the child's right to be with her mother.

The child, now ten is demanding transfer from the forced upon her, custodian (exhibit - papers of attorney Schiff). Attorney Schiff represents that his child client tearfully begs for release from a court ordered parent whom she fears and is growing to hate more intensely daily as Judge Hoffman wastes time, money and the precious character evolution of those unfortunate enough to need to appear in his depraved and indifferent court. As Judge Hoffman misses opportunities to file a written decision answering a motion to change custody in a custody proceeding that never should have taken place, action should be taken to look at the functioning and time management of Judge Hoffman as this court needs to be held accountable to the agony caused to the litigants.

The cast of characters forcing their will on vulnerable, protective subjects – mother and child, more represent a gang rape than a judicial proceeding. The appointed former guardian for the child, Rosemary Rivieccio has been the subject of multiple law suits, complaints before the bar

association(Herman Morelli - v – Morelli). She has a documented history as a pathological liar (Morelli v Herman Morelli - 2015) As an attorney for the opposing litigant, she has been described as functioning more as a co-conspirator, or hit man for her client, than an attorney. The uninhibited violence and viciousness with which Rosemary Riviuccio has been known to assault her adversary are a consistent feature of her presence (Bar Asc. Complaint 11/15)

The demonstrated disregard for treasured ties had been demonstrated through the Altman/ Scollar proceedings as the then sitting Judge Sosa Lintner declared “Biology Is Irrelevant”, thus denying God and science. Just as Ontology Recapitulates Phylogeny, Haeckel's Recapitulation theory and Freud's pronouncements of “that which is not resolved is repeated” is a level of scholarly gravis that seems to have escaped the attention of this court, as now two sets of judges and a former lawyer for the child Rosemary Riviuccio appear to be mesmerized by the spontaneous combustion of theories they float.

Attorney Rosemary Riviuccio insisted that the child, Harrison Scollar Altman has no father...”father is unknown”. The father is known. The father exists, the father raised the child with her biological mother the first nearly four years of the child's life. The child desperately misses her father, yet attorney Riviuccio, in collusion with Allison Scollar, along with the former Judge Sosa Lintner had attempted to insist, in the course of their tenure in handling the case wanted the father referred to as a “sperm donor”.

The child loves the father. The child wants to see the father. The fact that a judge/judges allow this type of misrepresentation into a court record is reprehensible and cannot be tolerated for any sitting body to be taken as credible and reasonably invested with any form of authority especially given that the absence of significant parenting figures in the lives of children/parent to the adult are well established through scholarly research, to suffer terrible emotional wounds, scars, impairment through Bewilderment Syndrome.

This myth was propagated by Judge Sosa Lintner as birthright was of no interest to her. This dissemination of the perhaps hoped for petri dish cloning, or hermaphroditic generation of life suggested by the theory of spontaneous regeneration, possibly taking place on the island of Lesbos, appears to be the level of irrational decision making now being propagated by Judge Douglas E. Hoffman. Judge Hoffman has now on two occasions wasted the time, money, emotional energy of the litigants by “hoping” the parties would “settle” as opposed to acting as a “Finder of Fact”.

This now two generations of judges (with an interrum judge Ta-Tanisha James) has acted with a level of ignorance, or denial of a severely apparent level of significant pathology – on the part of/driven by one litigant. Though the court appears to wish to view the contratante between the two parties as a “cat fight”, denigrating the intellectual psychological/historical magnitude of the arguments being put forth.

The significance of the driving issues between the parties is tantamount to the psychopathology, unrecognized by courts, incorrectly viewed as litigation between two equal parties with equal claims. The great majority of high conflict issues are not driven between equal parties with equal competing views, but between unequal parties where the level of psychopathology in one party, unrecognized by the court is critical in a manner which negatively impacts the best interests of the child. Decisions made based on the ability of the party to end the conflict by inflicting their will through superior spending power, the ability to crush the opponent through the number of legal actions, harassment through police forces, frivolous litigation, other forms of harassment is not a just, rational, or equitable resolution; it is not even a resolution, as the consequences of bad court decisions continue for years if not generations.

The Best Interest of the Child never did seem to resonate with the former Judge Gloria Sosa Lintner as indicated by an extensive history of vociferous complaints against her, impotently echoed through Face Book, Social Media, Judicial Grievance Committees. Judge Hoffman has been demonstrating similar level of depraved indifference as per his lack of any helpful or useful intervention since the Nov. 28th hearing. Gloria Sosa Lintner transferred a child – the sibling of that child having been murdered by the parent pursuing and then winning custody of the child. That custodial debacle was overturned and the child returned to the Protective parent (1999 Appeal).

In a similar mind numbing event as noted, Judge Sosa Lintner transferred Six year old Harrison Scollar Altman into the hands of her adoptive parent Allison Scollar over the pleas of the child and the biological mother. The biological mother had to defend herself over baseless claims while Scollar's real, palpable, actionable, immanently harmful and harmful acts of abuse against her former partner, (Police Reports,,,,,) emotional violence/threatened violence and punishment against the child, neglect of the child are unnoticed, have no impact on the court. The child and her mother are left to suffer and to be deprived of their rightful union, for the mother to engage in her liberty interest in caring for and protecting her child. Further, the mother is left to languish in an ongoing state of fear for the care, welfare and safety of her child, as is the case in which police had to be called in to procure medical care for a sick, suffering young child (police report.....). Instead of being held accountable for medical neglect, Allison Scollar was allowed to continue a legal battle for isolation of the child as she was held, virtually incommunicado from her mother.

During the agony of defeat – Judge Hoffman engages in the ridiculous, useless exercise of sending the combatants into the hallway – as if the hallway has some magical properties to induce settlement not possessed by – their attorneys conference rooms (Blank Rome) and fails to contribute anything useful as per commentary or written response to the motions filed other than following the prescription for the definition of insanity – doing the same thing over and over – and expecting a different outcome – or – perhaps – not caring about the outcome at all.

Consistent with the pox upon the house actions of this court, the name of Sara Weiss Ed.D psychologist is named as a “Forensic” evaluator for this case. As has been the consistent actions of other court actors in this case, Sara Weiss has a reputation of awarding 50/50 custody in high conflict cases, providing no psychological testing – though her area of expertise is supposed to be psychological testing. Her “forensic reports are known to be replete with inaccurate, false and misleading information (Complaints filed with all professional psychology boards governing the practice of psychology in New York/matter of immunity under review by FCVFC attorneys 10/16). We know of the inaccurate and false information in this report as per the assistance of Blank Rome law firm having filed the full and complete psychological interview with the Appeal file..... thus violating the confidentiality rights and privilege of both clients and disseminating false, libelous, defamatory information about Brook Altman, the biological parent.

The facts already exist to make a proper custody evaluation and Judge Hoffman needs to act as a Trier of Fact and act on the facts before him.

Jill Jones-Soderman