

COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE MARK T. GOULD

For the past two plus years, incorporating more than thirty hours of trial time presided over by Judge Mark T. Gould the case of an accused obsessed child molester, the father of three young children has been subject to legal wrangling, suppression of evidence by multiple court actors. Judge Gould has closed the court, sealed the record and taken absolutely no reasonable action to protect the vulnerable subject children who have been equally failed by the usual incompetence of DCF, highly paid hired gun experts whose work product is geared to the highest bidder, shielded from scrutiny of compromised reports by those who share in the financial plunder of court appointments of "Parenting Police".

Judge Gould's tactics of attempting to hide the unspeakable acts of an abuser, who is not only of danger to the intimate family members, but who also poses an acute problem to the community of neighbors and friends of these children. Judge Gould has prolonged acute suffering of these children. He has allowed a legacy of years of emotional trauma, not understood by these children as of now, but years of evolving consciousness and cognitive development will cause the eruption of feelings of shame, humiliation, disruption of the capacity to trust those people and institutions who must be trusted in order to build a stable psyche and sound character. Judge Gould is covered by judicial immunity, so exactly who is held responsible for the financial investment that will be required to address the casualties of family court.

Judge Gould stated on the record that he did not want the children to read court records of their parent's divorce or for them to see articles written about them, Judge Gould had no problem ignoring the facts before him, suppressing his own awareness that these children are living the nightmare of multiple forms of abuse everyday, fearing what comes next., coming to understand there is no help, no rescue. The court obsesses, splits hairs as mensa mind attorneys spout Federal Rules of Evidence, chapter and verse to suppress evidence, avoid the obvious and make jokes of the uncomfortable, bizarre behavior of the clearly, severely mentally ill individual on the stand. The apologist for this dangerous person, the court appointed psychologist has been paid thousands of dollars, with greater bills waiting . She has been placed on notice, informed of plans for suits against her. Other court actors will be meeting a similar fate.

The failure of judicial education to make those in authority conscious, alert to the existence of psychopathic personality disorders, leaves open the path to the commission of heinous crimes. The ability of those who function with a mask of sanity to commit crimes in plain sight and those court actors who are apologists for psychopathic crimes, but who act in symbiotic connection to predators must become known to the court.

Judge Gould presumably battened down the hatches of the court, keeping out interlopers leakers, outsiders, unwittingly locking out the very protections needed by the children and by any authentic court authority seeking not only due process but the children's equal access to the court,. Children have limited direct access to the courts as per in camera interviews. Communications through court ordered attorneys should be a vehicle but is often not afforded and most frequently, accurate articulations of children are not found in the records of unqualified "therapists" who fail to listen to children/fail to accurately interpret communications if heard. The qualified, expert court watcher, oversight to the entire court process has a unique position into seeing who is doing what to whom, when and where, inside and outside of the court. Attempts to shut out/shut down court oversight rarely is effective when the process has been engaged. Judge Gould sought to isolate the information before the court, but he did not isolate the sexually obsessed predator from the children and a final assault on the youngest, most vulnerable child was forced to become public as of Sat. 4/21/2018.

The current case before Judge Gould is not an isolated incident. This case, the obtuse actions of the court are a template for protocols of action that serve to generate legal fees, evaluation fees," parenting police" fees with the outcome of the spoils to the one with the largest source of funds to invest. The legacy of broken children/destroyed families left to grieve with no response of remorse on the part of those responsible must be a pattern that is broken.

Jill Jones-Soderman